

Action Points and Decisions
Partnership Schools Authorisation Board
21st May 2015

	Authorisation Board – Partnership Schools/Kura Hourua
Attendees	Authorisation Board (AB) Catherine Isaac – Chair John Shewan Dame Iritana Tawhiwhirangi John Morris Terry Bates Sir Toby Curtis (from 12 noon) John Taylor
Apology	Dr Margaret Southwick
In Attendance	Ministry of Education (MoE) Graham Stoop – Deputy Secretary Student Achievement (from 11 am) Lesley Hoskin – Associate Deputy Secretary Student Achievement (till 11 am) [REDACTED] – Group Manager Student Achievement Projects [REDACTED] – Project Manager [REDACTED] – Manager, Web Services (part of meeting) s 9(2)(a) OIA Office of the Parliamentary Under-Secretary David Seymour – Parliamentary Under-Secretary to the Minister of Education (9:50 am to 10:30 am) [REDACTED] s 9(2)(a) OIA [REDACTED] – Advisor to the Parliamentary Under-Secretary (9:50 am to 10:30 am) [REDACTED] – Private Secretary (Education) Authorisation Board Secretariat Mani Maniparathy

Actions arising from this meeting

	Action	Owner	Due Date
21/05:01	Martin Jenkins & Associates to be asked by the Ministry to re-scope its evaluation of the PSKH initiative to ensure it achieves the objective set out in Cabinet minute (12) 26/6 by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Replacing its 'intervention logic model' and its plan to develop an inputs-focused framework for assessing innovation, with a rigorous, evidence-based evaluation of the schools' educational performance, focusing in particular on whether the policy is achieving its intent, which is to raise educational achievement among priority learners 2. Undertaking a thorough review of the establishment phase, as required by Cabinet, from the RFP process through to final conclusion of the contract negotiation process. Of necessity this will include consultation with the AB, and 3. Including representatives of the AB in the advisory group overseeing the evaluation. 	MoE	25/6/15

Action Points and Decisions
Partnership Schools Authorisation Board
21st May 2015

21/05:02	The AB asked to be notified if and when a contract with a school is materially varied since the Board approval.	MoE	Ongoing
21/05:03	It was agreed that the purpose of the AB's Annual Report is to report to the Minister, and the tentative timeframe to publish is by the end of the year.	MM	18/12/2015
21/05:04	The Ministry will draft a report to the Minister on behalf of the Board, in discussion with the Secretariat, on the annual reports of the schools. s 9(2)(a) OIA	MoE	30/06/2015
21/05:05	A group including [redacted] representatives of the AB and legal experts will meet to discuss improvements to the contract.	MoE	18/6/15
21/05:06	The goal for the launch of the website is 30 June 2015.	MM & [redacted]	30/06/2015
21/05:07	The Ministry, with advice from TB, will organise workshops for sponsors on the use of the Progress and Consistency Tool (PaCT) and e-asTtle. s 9(2)(a) OIA	MoE	30/6/15
21/05:08	Based on the position agreed at the meeting, CI will revise the note on the Performance Monitoring and Reporting Framework and circulate to the Board before forwarding to the office of the US.	CI	22/5/15

Outstanding actions (from previous meetings)			
29/01:02	Whangaruru School - further detail around NZQA credits is to be provided to the Authorisation Board.	Ministry [redacted]	Outstanding

s 9(2)(a) OIA

Agenda item	discussion points
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Board only time. 2. The minutes from the last meeting were confirmed. All previous outstanding matters except the one above have either been actioned or incorporated into actions agreed at today's meeting. 3. In future Board meetings will start at 9:30 am and conclude by 5:00 pm. 4. GS updated that he has had a discussion with Tahu Potiki, and that Tahu Potiki will contact CI. 5. Chair provided an update. Sample logo for PSKH was socialised. Her presentation to the Iwi Chairs Forum was deferred due to the meeting running over time, but will be rescheduled for the August meeting. She presented information on the gap in achievement between deciles 1 and 10 as well as between Maori and all other students. It was agreed that key messages to the ICF would be around the need for advocacy for quality applications, support for the partnership concept, and advocacy for strong educational performance and accountability. 6. The Under Secretary (US) discussed the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. His meeting with Martin Jenkins & Associates regarding the need for the evaluation report to be more evidence-based, and the need for consultation with the Board as part of the evaluation. b. The roles and responsibilities document. The Board noted the revisions regarding the definition of the Board's monitoring role. c. The student funding model. d. Performance management and evaluation. 7. The AB/Secretariat draft work programme was noted. Key items in the work programme include PSKH Website, developing a Performance Monitoring and Reporting Framework, supporting the development of a PSKH support organisation and the annual report of the Board. 8. Annual reports of the schools were presented. Key discussion points were that the schools' self

Action Points and Decisions
Partnership Schools Authorisation Board
21st May 2015

assessment should be complemented with the Ministry/Board's assessment and that performance in different areas may have to be weighted.

9. GS briefed the AB on each school's plan to reach the GMR and maximum roll. It was noted that the US will brief the AB on the review of the funding formula. It was noted that preparation would be required in anticipation of another round of applications, based on the budget release.

s 9(2)(a) OIA

10. [REDACTED] briefed the AB on the contract monitoring process. It was agreed that it would be useful to group the schools into three tiers (A: doing well/potentially capable of scaling up/replicating; B: satisfactory; C: on notice to lift performance), based on performance monitoring.

11. GS briefed the AB on Te Pumanawa o te Wairua (Whangaruru).

12. GS relayed the budget announcement from the broadcast.

13. [REDACTED] presented the work to date on the website. The AB provided feedback. It was agreed to work towards 30 June launch.

s 9(2)(a) OIA

14. [REDACTED] presented the operational reports of the schools. Vanguard Military School will be releasing their annual report soon. South Auckland Middle School will be requesting a review of its decile rating.

15. The AB discussed the proposed revision of the Performance Management Framework (PMF). This will need to be developed and included in the next round of contracts and potentially incorporated as a clarification in existing contracts.

16. A presentation on lessons from the Auditor General's report on Whanau Ora was noted.